

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/553,452	04/19/2000	Earl D. Koch	P3094	3887
23452	7590 05/19/2004		EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT			BEACH, THOMAS A	
LARKIN, HOFFMAN, DALY & LINDGREN, LTD. 1500 WELLS FARGO PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7900 XERXES AVENUE SOUTH			3671	
BLOOMINGTON, MN 55431			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/553,452	KOCH, EARL D.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas A Beach	3671
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>apper</u></li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 25-37,39 and 42-48 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28,31,33,37 and 42-45  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 25-27,29,30,32,35,36 and 39 is/are refered to.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the subjection to the subjection to the subjection to the subjection of the subjection to	#8 is/are withdrawn from consider bjected.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the b	Examiner.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/553,452

Art Unit: 3671

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on January 5, 2004, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. The new grounds of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
  - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 25, 32,and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rech 4,373,306. Rech shows a temporary ramp adapted for use on roadways under construction capable of being placed adjacent to an elevated obstruction located in the roadway having an assembly of a plurality of individual, adjacently disposed, removably

Application/Control Number: 09/553,452 Page 3

Art Unit: 3671

interlocking first ramp segments that include an lower surface which contacts the roadway when installed (4, figure 1); an upper inclined surface that inclines downwardly from a first edge to a second; and opposing side edges (6/7) having complimentary coupling formations for adapted to removably interlock with another side edge where in use the first ramp segment are positionable adjacent the obstruction located in the roadway for allowing vehicles traveling the roadway to ride up and over the elevated obstruction. As concerns claim 32, Rech shows the first ramp segments made of an elastomeric material (col. 1, lines 15-20) and as concerns claim 35, Rech shows the first segments having opening for receiving fasteners to secure the segments (6, 7).

4. Claims 25, 32, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Herman et al 5,777,266. Herman shows a temporary ramp adapted for use on roadways under construction capable of being placed adjacent to an elevated obstruction located in the roadway having an assembly of a plurality of individual, adjacently disposed, removably interlocking first ramp segments that include an lower surface which contacts the roadway when installed (50, figures 1-5); an upper inclined surface that inclines downwardly from a first edge to a second; and opposing side edges (70) having complimentary coupling formations for adapted to removably interlock with another side edge where in use the first ramp segment are positionable adjacent the obstruction located in the roadway for allowing vehicles traveling the roadway to ride up and over the elevated obstruction. As concerns claim 32, Herman shows the first ramp segments made of an elastomeric material (abstract) and as

Art Unit: 3671

concerns claim 35, Herman shows the first segments having opening for receiving fasteners to secure the segments (66, 68).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rech 4,373,306 or Herman et al 5,777,266 in view of Barnowski 5,535,470. Rech and Herman do not disclose the specific ramp slope of at least approximately 1:20; however, Barnowski discloses that such a slope, 1:12 to 1:20, is "conventional" and thus notoriously well known in the art (col. 1, lines 44-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rech or Herman, as taught by Barnowski, to include a common conventional slope of 1:20 to provide a ramped angle this is functionally tested over time as being proper to elevate a vehicle over an obstruction.

As concerns claim 27, the combination shows in figure 1 of Rech and figures 2 and 6 of Herman the segments capable of transitioning a linear obstruction since the segments are also show to be rectangular.

7. Claims 29, 30, 36 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rech 4,373,306 or Herman et al 5,777,266 and Barnowski 5,535,470

Application/Control Number: 09/553,452

Art Unit: 3671

in view of Haskins 5,535,470. Rech and Herman both show a plurality of second ramp segments, element 1 of Rech & element 10 of Herman, both having lower surface and an upper inclined surface that inclines downwardly from a first edge to a second where adjacent segments share a similar height; and opposing side edges having complimentary coupling formations for adapted to removably interlock with another side edge where in use the first ramp segment are positionable adjacent the obstruction located in the roadway for allowing vehicles traveling the roadway to ride up and over the elevated obstruction. However, the combination above does show a first segment having a slope of 1:20 but does not show first and second segment both having a slope of at least approximately 1:20. Haskins shows a similar plurality of segments that make up a ramp for roadway (wheelchairs are certainly a type of vehicle/mode of transportation) use having first and second segments 4, 5, 6, and 7 sharing a slope (Figures 5-8 and 10-13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination, as taught by Haskins, to include first and second segment of the slope segment to reduce size and transportation costs of large segments while maintaining a study ramp (Haskins; col. 2, lines 29-46).

As concerns claim 30, the combination shows a linear surface and rectangular segments (figure 1 of Rech and figure1 of Herman) to form a ramp. As concerns claim 32, the combination shows. As concerns claim 36, the combination (Herman) shows the first ramp segments made of an elastomeric material (abstract) and as concerns claim 39, the combination (Herman) shows the first segments having opening for receiving

fasteners to secure the segments (66, 68) or as concerns claim 32, the combination (Rech) shows the first ramp segments made of an elastomeric material (col. 1, lines 15-20) and as concerns claim 35, the combination (Rech) shows the first segments having opening for receiving fasteners to secure the segments (6, 7).

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25-27,29,30,32,35,36 and 39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The claims set forth a combination of plurality of ramps that are capable of being place next to an obstruction and not the combination of the ramp and the roadway and obstruction. Regarding Rech, the argument that this arrangement of structure is only for an airplane runways or factory yards is unconvincing since the reference clearly says "for example" not "limited to".

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A Beach whose telephone number is 703.305.4848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:00am-6:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/553,452

**Art Unit: 3671** 

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703.308.3870. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.872.9306 or 703.872.9306 for regular communications and 703.872.9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.306.4198.

Thomas A. Beach

May 10, 2004

Unionas B. Will
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600